DOE ORDER

93 RF 14661

## **EG&G ROCKY FLATS**



000013468

EG&G ROCKY FLATS INC ROCKY FLATS PLANT P O BOX 464 GOLDEN COLORADO 80402-0464 (303) 966 7000

December 2 1993

93 RF 14661

R J Schassburger Acting Director **Environmental Restoration Division** DOE, RFO

Attn S R Surovchak

VERIFICATION OF SAMPLE TRACEABILITY TO INVESTIGATIVE DERIVED MATERIAL (IDM) CONTAINERS (ARE DRUMS CLEAN OR NOT?) NMH 619 93

#### INTRODUCTION 10

Environmental Restoration using FO 23 as guidance screened the Operable Unit 1 (OU1) and Operable Unit 2 (OU2) data using the 99/99 Upper Tolerance Levels (UTLs) for metals (values from the 1993 Background Geochemical Characterization Report) and the result qualifier field (all results not equal to U) a screen for organics. Basically any detect for any organic resulted in the drum being initially listed as not clean All data for such drums were compiled in Statistical Analysis Systems (SAS) files for subsequent analysis

The files for metals and organics were evaluated separately. Discussion with J. R. Fitzsimmons (Waste Tech Support) indicated that only those components on the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) D List and the RCRA F List (40 CFR 216) must be evaluated in order to release the drums from RCRA interim storage. In accordance with this guidance the final data sets for metals and Volatile Organic Analytes (VOAs) (METS02 and VOAS04 respectively) evaluate only the D List and F List components

Hard copy output of the final generation of the data sets is provided with this report. All drums containing one or more detects of a RCRA regulated component are listed in the printouts that follow this brief text. More than 2 500 drums were evaluated

#### 20 EVALUATION OF METALS DATA FOR IDM DRUMS

The original file contained 12 003 observations that had been flagged as exceeding the 99/99 UTLs Salient data from this initial data set including all analytes and all drums were printed out as a hard copy record and reviewed. Observations for which results and validated results were missing were then dropped from the working data set. In the next step essential rock forming elements (Al Ca Fe Mg K Si Na) were dropped from the data set cutting the number of observations to about 4 700 Finally only regulated metals from the RCRA D List (40 CFR 261 30) were printed out in a matrix showing drum number by regulated metal. The measured concentrations are not given on this printout, but

DIST AMARAL MI BENEDETTI, A L BENJAMIN. A BERMAN H S BRANCH DB CARNIVAL G J OPP RD DAVIS JG FERRERA DW HANNI B.I HARMAN L K HEALY TJ HEDAHL, T HILBIG J G KIRBY WA KUESTER AW MANN HP MARX GE McDONALD M M M KENNA, F G MONTROSE, J K MORGAN R V POTTER GL PIZZUTO V M RILEY, J H RISING TI SANDLIN N B SETLOCK GH STEWART DL SULLIVAN MT SWANSON ER WILKINSON R B WILLIAMS, S (ORC WILSON J M WYANT RB TUNKS. CORRES CONTROL X X

PATS T130G TRAFFIC

CLASSIFICATION

UCNI		
UNCLASSIFIED		
CONFIDENTIAL	П	Π
STORET		

**AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER** SIGNATURE



IN REPLY TO REP CC NO

ACTION ITEM STATUS ☐ P RTIAL/OPEN ☐ CLOSED LTR APPROVALS



6469 (R 7/93)



AUMIN RECORD

1

R J Schassburger December 2 1993 93 RF 14661 Page 2

every drum that exceeded the 99/99 UTL for a RCRA regulated metal is included on this list. Approximately 445 drums are included on this list, but it should be kept in mind that not all drums listed here may contain metal concentrations greater than the regulatory concentration. In addition to evaluating metals concentrations by a comparison with the 99/99 UTLs a review of the crustal abundances of naturally occurring elements is recommended. A table presenting the average abundances of elements in the earth's crust (Krauskopf 1979) is included to provide a reality check for reviewers of this report.

#### 30 EVALUATION OF VOAS DATA FOR IDM DRUMS

The initial list (data set VOAS01) of detected observations for organic analytes contained 24 694 records. Approximately 4 000 of these records were for tentatively identified compounds. (TICs) these observations were eliminated from the next generation of the data set (VOAS02). The next step taken was to eliminate those observations for which the result-qualifier field was blank but the result was less than the reported detection limit Validated results and validated detection limits were used where available otherwise the result and detection limit reported by the lab were used (data set VOAS03). Finally, all results for which the given analyte was detected in the field blank or lab blank were dropped from the data set (VOAS04). Those analytes that were also found in the corresponding blank are flagged with a B in the qualifier field of the data set.

Using the above methodology the fourth generation data set (VOAS04) contains 11 654 observations. However the number of flagged drums is not substantially reduced from that of the first generation data set. The associated analytical data indicate that approximately 2 120 drums have one or more detected volatile organic compounds.

#### 40 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The work performed for this report meets the required action to identify those drums containing detectable concentrations of RCRA regulated components. However, a more thorough review of the data (i.e. examining every record) will be required to adequately assess the necessity of keeping a given drum under RCRA managed storage. For example many drums on the list for detected VOAs have only one detect for a given drum whereas other drums have 60 or more detects. Clearly, any one drum with numerous detected concentrations of RCRA regulated components is a candidate for continued RCRA management. However, the decision of how to proceed in the case of drums with only one or two detects is a policy decision that must be made prior to further data analysis.

It is the recommendation of EG&G that the Department of Energy request concurrence from the Colorado Department of Health on the above methodology and also for excluding drums with few detections of VOAs at low concentrations from those drums requiring RCRA management. The total number of drums that must be RCRA managed will be a function of the method of

R J Schassburger December 2 1993 93 RF 14661 Page 3

evaluation as well as the concentrations of RCRA regulated chemicals contained in the drummed Investigative Derived Materials

Attached please find the RCRA D Lists and the RCRA F Lists If you should have any questions please contact Mary Siders at extension 6933

Hoteleurs

N M Hutchins Acting Associate General Manager Environmental Restoration Management EG&G Rocky Flats Inc

MAS Imw

Orig and 1 cc R J Schassburger

Attachment As Stated

 $\infty$ 

A H Pauole DOE RFO M N Silverman

### RCRA D LIST

# Analyte

A	<b>5</b> 0	MOU
Arsenic	100 0	MG/L
Barium		
Cadmium	10	
Chromium		MG/L
Lead		MG/L
Mercury		MG/L
Slenium		MG/L
Silver		MG/L
Benzene		MG/L
Carbon tetrachloride		MG/L
Clordane		MG/L
Chlorobenzene	100 0	
Chloroform		MG/L
o Cresol	200 0	
m Cresol	200 0	
p Cresol	200 0	MG/L
Cresoi	200 0	MG/L
2 4 D	100	MG/L
1 4 Dichlorobenzene	75	MG/L
1 2 Dichlorobenzene	0 5	MG/L
1 1 Dichlorobenzene	07	MG/L
2 4 Dinitrotoluene	0 13	MG/L
Endrin	0 02	MG/L
Heptachlor (and its epoxide)	0 008	MG/L
Hexachlorobenzene	0 13	MG/L
Hexachlorobutadiene	0 5	MG/L
Hexachloroethane	3 0	MG/L
Lindane	0 4	MG/L
Methoxychlor	10 0	MG/L
Methy ethyl ketone (2 Butanone)	200 0	MG/L
Nitrobenzene		MG/L
Pentachlorophenol	100 0	
Pyridine		MG/L
Tetrachloroethylene		MG/L
Toxaphene		MG/L
Trichloroethylene		MG/L
2 4 5 Trichlorophenol	400 0	
2 4 6 Trichlorophenol		MG/L
2 4 5 TP (Silvex)		MG/L
Vinyl chloride	_	MG/L
villy: Cilionas	0 2	.71 🔾 .

### RCRA F LIST

### **Analyte**

(F001)

Tetrachloroethylene
Trichloroethylene
Methylene chloride
1 1 1 Trichloroethane
Carbon Tetrachloride
Chlorinated fluorcarbons

(F002)

Chlorobenzene
1 1 2 Trichloro 1 2 2 trifluoroethane
Ortho Dichlorobenzene
Tirchlorofluoromethane
1 1 2 Trichloroethane

1.2.2 Mar

(F003)

Xylene
Acetone
Ethyl acetate
Ethyl benzene
Ethyl ether
Methyl isobutyl ketone
n Butyl alcohol
Cyclohexanone
Methanol